

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,815	08/21/2003	Jerome R. Bellegarda	P2989-908	6190
	7590 12/21/200 NGERSOLL & ROO!	EXAMINER .		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	DWIVEDI, MAHESH H		
ALEXANDRIA	, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		12/21/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/644,815	BELLEGARDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mahesh H. Dwivedi	2168			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (a) In no event, however, may a right apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal mat				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	,			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 8/21/2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	accepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyation is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). n(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/15/2005.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2168

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 02/15/2005 and 08/21/2003 have been received, entered into the record, and considered. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statements are being considered by the examiner.

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 27 recites the limitation "computer readable media according to claim 16" in Page 19, line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "associates each document with vector" should be changed to "associates each document with a vector". Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2168

Claims 23-24 are objected to for incorporating the deficiencies of claim 22.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 6. Claims 1-8, 11-16, 17-24, and 27-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Bellegarda et al.** (Article entitled "Exploiting Latent Semantic Information in Statistical Language Modeling, dated 10/26/2000) and in view of **Millier et al.** (U.S. Patent 5,899995).
- 7. Regarding claim 1, **Bellegarda** teaches a method comprising:

Art Unit: 2168

- A) mapping the files into a semantic vector space (Page 1279, Abstract);
- B) clustering the files within said space (Page 1279, Abstract).

The examiner notes that **Bellegarda** teaches "mapping the files into a semantic vector space" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract). The examiner further notes that **Bellegarda** teaches "clustering the files within said space" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Bellegarda does not explicitly teach:

C) displaying the files in a hierarchical format based on the resulting clusters.

Millier, however, teaches "displaying the files in a hierarchical format based on the resulting clusters" as "The present invention discloses an electronic filing system for automatically organizing information into a number of storage elements or folders. The storage elements are folders arranged hierarchically" (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching

Millier's would have allowed Belleegarda's to provide a method for users to find and

Art Unit: 2168

file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 2, **Bellegarda** does not explicitly teach a method comprising:

A) wherein the step of clustering the files is performed as a background routine during the operation of a computer associated with said file system.

Millier, however, teaches "wherein the step of clustering the files is performed as a background routine during the operation of a computer associated with said file system" as "The Reporter 210 may be integrated to specific services…to file stories as they arrive, or to contact the service on a periodic basis to retrieve the relevant documents" (Column 6, lines 25-31).

The examiner notes that it is clear that **Millier's** method has an automated process that locates relevant document in order to place those documents in smart folders.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Millier's** would have allowed **Belleegarda's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 3, Bellegarda further teaches a method comprising:

Art Unit: 2168

A) wherein the step of clustering the files is performed in response to the creation of a new file within the file system (Page 1286, Section: A. Framework Extension).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein the step of clustering the files is performed in response to the creation of a new file within the file system" as "finding a new representation for a new document in the space S is straightforward" (Page 1286, Section: A. Framework Extension). The examiner further notes that it is clear that the method of Bellegarda clusters when a new document is noticed.

Regarding claim 4, **Bellegarda** further teaches a method comprising:

- A) wherein said files are text documents (Page 1279, Abstract); and
- B) said mapping is conducted on the basis of a language model (Page 1279, Abstract).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said files are text documents" as "This paper focuses on the use of latent semantic analysis, a paradigm that automatically uncovers the salient semantic relationships between words and documents in a given corpus" (Page 1279, Abstract). The examiner further notes that Bellegarda teaches "said mapping is conducted on the basis of a language model" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Art Unit: 2168

Regarding claim 5, **Bellegarda** further teaches a method comprising:

A) wherein said mapping step comprises the steps of constructing a matrix which associates each word in the documents with a vector (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition); and

B) associates each document with a vector (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said mapping step comprises the steps of constructing a matrix which associates each word in the documents with a vector" as "The starting point is the construction of a matrix (W) of co-occurrences between words and documents" (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction) and "The $(M \times N)$ word-document matrix W resulting from the above feature extraction defines two vector representations for the words and the documents. Each word ω_l can be uniquely associated with a row vector of dimension N, and each document d_i can be uniquely associated with a column vector of dimension M (Page 1281, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition). The examiner further notes that Bellegarda teaches "associates each document with a vector" as "The $(M \times N)$ word-document matrix W resulting from the above feature extraction defines two vector representations for the words and the documents. Each word ω_l can be uniquely associated with a row vector of dimension N, and each document d_i can be uniquely associated with a column vector of dimension M (Page 1281, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

Art Unit: 2168

Regarding claim 6, **Bellegarda** further teaches a method comprising:

A) the step of decomposing said matrix to define the words and documents as vectors in a continuous vector space (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "the step of decomposing said matrix to define the words and documents as vectors in a continuous vector space" as "To address these issues, it is useful to employ a singular value decomposition (SVD), a technique closely related to eigenvector decomposition and factor analysis" (Page 1281, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

Regarding claim 7, **Bellegarda** further teaches a method comprising:

A) wherein said clustering is performed by identifying documents whose vectors are within a threshold distance of one another (Page 1284, Section: A. Word Clustering).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said clustering is performed by identifying documents whose vectors are within a threshold distance of one another" as "This opens up the opportunity to apply familiar clustering techniques in S, as long as a distance measure consistent with the SVD formalism is defined on the vector space" (Page 1286, Section: A. Framework Extension).

Regarding claim 8, Bellegarda does not explicitly teach a method comprising:

Art Unit: 2168

A) including the step of defining multiple threshold values and clustering said documents in accordance with said multiple threshold values to thereby establish plural levels of clusters.

Millier, however, teaches "including the step of defining multiple threshold values and clustering said documents in accordance with said multiple threshold values to thereby establish plural levels of clusters" as "In this example, Input Document 510 satisfies the profiles and constraints of Recent Stories Folder 530 and therefore is stored in Recent Stories Folder 530. Similarly, Input Document 510 satisfies the profiles and constraints of Interesting Stuff-1 Folder 540 and is stored in Interesting-Stuff Folder 540" (Column 8, lines 39-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Millier's** would have allowed **Belleegarda's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 11, **Bellegarda** teaches a graphical user interface comprising:

A) a virtual file system with a semantic hierarchy (Page 1279, Abstract).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "a virtual file system with a semantic hierarchy" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic

Art Unit: 2168

classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Bellegarda does not explicitly teach:

B) A graphical user interface configured to display files.

Millier, however, teaches "A graphical user interface configured to display files" as "FIG 2A illustrates a screen display 100 on display monitor 62 showing one embodiment of a hierarchical arrangement of the various folders and files in accordance with the teachings of the Smart Folder System of the present invention" (Column 5, lines 39-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Millier's** would have allowed **Belleegarda's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 12, **Bellegarda** further teaches a graphical user interface comprising:

A) wherein the semantic hierarchy is based on clustering of files based on semantic similarities (Page 1279, Abstract).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "the step of decomposing said matrix to define the words and documents as vectors in a continuous vector space" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic

Art Unit: 2168

vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Regarding claim 13, **Bellegarda** does not explicitly teach a graphical user interface comprising:

A) wherein clustering of the files is initiated by user selection.

Millier, however, teaches "wherein clustering of the files is initiated by user selection" as "The Categorize Screen 300 shows an example of a interactive session between the user and the Categorize Dialog process" (Column 7, lines 3-5).

The examiner notes that it is clear that **Millier's** method includes user-initiated commands to begin clustering documents.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Millier's** would have allowed **Belleegarda's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 14, **Bellegarda** further teaches a graphical user interface comprising:

Art Unit: 2168

A) wherein clustering of the files is initiated upon creation of a new file in the file system (Page 1286, Section: A. Framework Extension).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein clustering of the files is initiated upon creation of a new file in the file system" as "finding a new representation for a new document in the space S is straightforward" (Page 1286, Section: A. Framework Extension). The examiner further notes that it is clear that the method of Bellegarda clusters when a new document is noticed.

Regarding claim 15, Bellegarda further teaches a graphical user interface comprising:

A) wherein text files are clustered utilizing a language model (Page 1279, Abstract).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "analyzing files in a file system to determine similarities in data pertaining to their content" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Bellegarda does not explicitly teach:

B) non-text files are clustered utilizing rule-based techniques.

Millier, however, teaches "non-text files are clustered utilizing rule-based techniques" as "The SmartFolder Intelligent Filing System (IFS) allows the user to

Art Unit: 2168

define a set of rules that allow a document to be filed in multiple contexts of the user's choosing" (Column 3, lines 14-22) and "Graphics Files" (Figure 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Millier's** would have allowed **Belleegarda's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 16, **Bellegarda** further teaches a graphical user interface comprising:

A) wherein said language model comprises the LSA paradigm (Page 1281, Section: D. Organization).

The examiner notes that **Bellegarda** teaches "wherein said language model comprises the LSA paradigm" as "The focus of this paper is on semantically driven span extension only, and more specifically on how the LSA paradigm can be exploited to improve statistical language modeling" (Page 1281, Section: D. Organization).

Regarding claim 17, **Bellegarda** teaches a computer-readable media comprising:

A) analyzing files in a file system to determine similarities in data pertaining to their content (Page 1279, Abstract).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "analyzing files in a file system to determine similarities in data pertaining to their content" as "(discrete) words

Art Unit: 2168

and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Bellegarda does not explicitly teach:

B) displaying files in hierarchical format based on determined similarities between the files.

Millier, however, teaches "displaying files in hierarchical format based on determined similarities between the files" as "The present invention discloses an electronic filing system for automatically organizing information into a number of storage elements or folders. The storage elements are folders arranged hierarchically" (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Millier's** would have allowed **Belleegarda's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 18, **Bellegarda** further teaches a computer-readable media comprising:

A) wherein said files are text documents (Page 1279, Abstract); and

Art Unit: 2168

B) the similarities are based upon the word content of the files (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said files are text documents" as "This paper focuses on the use of latent semantic analysis, a paradigm that automatically uncovers the salient semantic relationships between words and documents in a given corpus" (Page 1279, Abstract). The examiner further notes that Bellegarda teaches "the similarities are based upon the word content of the files" as "The starting point is the construction of a matrix (W) of co-occurrences between words and documents" (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction) and "The ($M \times N$) word-document matrix W resulting from the above feature extraction defines two vector representations for the words and the documents. Each word ω_l can be uniquely associated with a row vector of dimension N, and each document d_i can be uniquely associated with a column vector of dimension M (Page 1281, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

Regarding claim 19, Bellegarda further teaches a computer-readable media comprising:

- A) wherein said similarities are determined in accordance with a language model (Page 1279. Abstract. Page 1281. Section: D. Organization); and
- B) the files are clustered in accordance with said model (Page 1279, Abstract, Page 1281, Section: D. Organization).

Art Unit: 2168

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said similarities are determined in accordance with a language model" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract). The examiner further notes that Bellegarda teaches "the files are clustered in accordance with said model" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Regarding claim 20, **Bellegarda** further teaches a computer-readable media comprising:

A) wherein said language model comprises the LSA paradigm (Page 1281, Section: D. Organization).

The examiner notes that **Bellegarda** teaches "wherein said language model comprises the LSA paradigm" as "The focus of this paper is on semantically driven span extension only, and more specifically on how the LSA paradigm can be exploited to improve statistical language modeling" (Page 1281, Section: D. Organization).

Art Unit: 2168

Regarding claim 21, **Bellegarda** further teaches a computer-readable media comprising:

A) wherein said computer-executable code performs the steps of constructing a matrix which associates each word in the documents with a vector (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition); and
B) associates each document with vector (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said computerexecutable code performs the steps of constructing a matrix which associates each word in the documents with a vector" as "The starting point is the construction of a matrix (W) of co-occurrences between words and documents" (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction) and "The $(M \times N)$ word-document matrix W resulting from the above feature extraction defines two vector representations for the words and the documents. Each word ω_I can be uniquely associated with a row vector of dimension N, and each document d_i can be uniquely associated with a column vector of dimension M(Page 1281, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition). The examiner further notes that Bellegarda teaches "associates each document with vector" as "The $(M \times N)$ word-document matrix W resulting from the above feature extraction defines two vector representations for the words and the documents. Each word ω_l can be uniquely associated with a row vector of dimension N, and each document d_i can be uniquely associated with a column vector of dimension M" (Page 1281, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

Art Unit: 2168

Regarding claim 22, **Bellegarda** further teaches a computer-readable media comprising:

A) wherein said computer-executable code further performs step of decomposing said matrix to define the words and documents as vectors in a continuous vector space (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said computer-executable code further performs step of decomposing said matrix to define the words and documents as vectors in a continuous vector space" as "To address these issues, it is useful to employ a singular value decomposition (SVD), a technique closely related to eigenvector decomposition and factor analysis" (Page 1281, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

Regarding claim 23, **Bellegarda** further teaches a computer-readable media comprising:

A) wherein said computer-executable code performs clustering by identifying documents whose vectors are within a threshold distance of one another (Page 1284, Section: A. Word Clustering).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said computerexecutable code performs clustering by identifying documents whose vectors are
within a threshold distance of one another" as "This opens up the opportunity to

Art Unit: 2168

apply familiar clustering techniques in S, as long as a distance measure consistent with the SVD formalism is defined on the vector space" (Page 1286, Section: A. Framework Extension).

Regarding claim 24, **Bellegarda** does not explicitly teach a computer-readable media comprising:

A) wherein said computer-executable code further performs step of clustering said documents in accordance with multiple threshold values to thereby establish plural levels of clusters.

Millier, however, teaches "wherein said computer-executable code further performs step of clustering said documents in accordance with multiple threshold values to thereby establish plural levels of clusters" as "In this example, Input Document 510 satisfies the profiles and constraints of Recent Stories Folder 530 and therefore is stored in Recent Stories Folder 530. Similarly, Input Document 510 satisfies the profiles and constraints of Interesting Stuff-1 Folder 540 and is stored in Interesting-Stuff Folder 540" (Column 8, lines 39-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Millier's** would have allowed **Belleegarda's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Art Unit: 2168

Regarding claim 27, **Bellegarda** further teaches a computer-readable media comprising:

A) wherein the computer executable code performs the following steps: clustering text files within the file system using semantic similarities (Page 1279, Abstract).

The examiner notes that **Bellegarda** teaches "a **semantic hierarchy that is based upon the content of said files**" as "(discrete) words and documents are

mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering

techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Bellegarda does not explicitly teach:

- B) clustering non-text files within the files system using rule-based techniques;
- C) labeling the resulting clusters; and
- D) displaying the files in a hierarchical format based on the resulting clusters and labels.

Millier, however, teaches "clustering non-text files within the files system using rule-based techniques" as "The SmartFolder Intelligent Filing System (IFS) allows the user to define a set of rules that allow a document to be filed in multiple contexts of the user's choosing" (Column 3, lines 14-22) and "Graphics Files" (Figure 3), and "labeling the resulting clusters" as "the SmartFolder IFS uses indexing, the indexing is used merely for labeling purposes" (Column 3, lines 35-41), and "displaying the files in a hierarchical format based on the resulting clusters and labels" as

Art Unit: 2168

"The present invention discloses an electronic filing system for automatically organizing information into a number of storage elements or folders. The storage elements are folders arranged hierarchically" (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Millier's** would have allowed **Bellegarda's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 28, **Bellegarda** teaches a computer system comprising:

- A) a file system storing files (Page 1279, Abstract);
- B) a semantic hierarchy that is based upon the content of said files (Page 1279, Abstract).

The examiner notes that **Bellegarda** teaches "a file system storing files" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract). The examiner further notes that **Bellegarda** teaches "a semantic hierarchy that is based upon the content of said files" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a

Art Unit: 2168

powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Bellegarda does not explicitly teach:

- C) a display device; and
- B) a user interface which displays representations of files stored in said file system.

Millier, however, teaches "a display device" as "The Categorize Screen 300 shows an example of a interactive session between the user and the Categorize Dialog process" (Column 7, lines 3-5), and "a user interface which displays representations of files stored in said file system" as "The present invention discloses an electronic filing system for automatically organizing information into a number of storage elements or folders. The storage elements are folders arranged hierarchically" (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Millier's** would have allowed **Bellegarda's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 29, **Bellegarda** teaches a computer system comprising:

A) including a processor for analyzing the content of files stored in said file system to map said files into a semantic vector space (Page 1279, Abstract); and

B) cluster the files within said space (Page 1279, Abstract).

Art Unit: 2168

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "including a processor for analyzing the content of files stored in said file system to map said files into a semantic vector space" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract). The examiner further notes that Bellegarda teaches "cluster the files within said space" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Bellegarda does not explicitly teach:

C) wherein said user interface displays said files in accordance with said clustering.

Millier, however, teaches "wherein said user interface displays said files in accordance with said clustering" as "The present invention discloses an electronic filing system for automatically organizing information into a number of storage elements or folders. The storage elements are folders arranged hierarchically" (Abstract).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching

Millier's would have allowed Bellegarda's to provide a method for users to find and file

Art Unit: 2168

documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

Regarding claim 30, **Bellegarda** further teaches a computer system comprising:

A) wherein said files are text documents (Page 1279, Abstract); and

B) said processor maps said files on the basis of a language model (Page 1279, Abstract).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said files are text documents" as "This paper focuses on the use of latent semantic analysis, a paradigm that automatically uncovers the salient semantic relationships between words and documents in a given corpus" (Page 1279, Abstract). The examiner further notes that Bellegarda teaches "said processor maps said files on the basis of a language model" as "(discrete) words and documents are mapped onto a (continuous) semantic vector space, in which familiar clustering techniques can be applied. This leads to the specification of a powerful framework for automatic semantic classification, as well as the derivation of several language model families with various smoothing properties" (Page 1279, Abstract).

Regarding claim 31, **Bellegarda** further teaches a computer system comprising:

A) wherein said processor constructs a matrix which associates each word in the documents with a vector (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition); and

Art Unit: 2168

B) associates each document with a vector (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

The examiner notes that **Bellegarda** teaches "wherein said processor constructs a matrix which associates each word in the documents with a vector" as "The starting point is the construction of a matrix (W) of co-occurrences between words and documents" (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction) and "The ($M \times N$) word-document matrix W resulting from the above feature extraction defines two vector representations for the words and the documents. Each word ω_I can be uniquely associated with a row vector of dimension N, and each document d_I can be uniquely associated with a column vector of dimension M (Page 1281, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition). The examiner further notes that **Bellegarda** teaches "associates each document with a vector" as "The ($M \times N$) word-document matrix W resulting from the above feature extraction defines two vector representations for the words and the documents. Each word ω_I can be uniquely associated with a row vector of dimension N, and each document d_I can be uniquely associated with a column vector of dimension N, and each document d_I can be uniquely associated with a column vector of dimension M" (Page 1281, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

Regarding claim 32, **Bellegarda** further teaches a computer-readable media comprising:

A) wherein said processor further decomposes said matrix to define the words and documents as vectors in a continuous vector space (Page 1281, Section: A. Feature Extraction, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

Art Unit: 2168

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said processor further decomposes said matrix to define the words and documents as vectors in a continuous vector space" as "To address these issues, it is useful to employ a singular value decomposition (SVD), a technique closely related to eigenvector decomposition and factor analysis" (Page 1281, Section: B. Singular Value Decomposition).

Regarding claim 33, **Bellegarda** further teaches a computer system comprising:

A) wherein said processor clusters the files by identifying documents whose vectors are within a threshold distance of one another (Page 1284, Section: A. Word Clustering).

The examiner notes that Bellegarda teaches "wherein said processor clusters the files by identifying documents whose vectors are within a threshold distance of one another" as "This opens up the opportunity to apply familiar clustering techniques in S, as long as a distance measure consistent with the SVD formalism is defined on the vector space" (Page 1286, Section: A. Framework Extension).

Regarding claim 34, **Bellegarda** does not explicitly teach a computer system comprising:

A) wherein said processor clusters said files in accordance with multiple threshold values to thereby establish plural levels of clusters.

Millier, however, teaches "wherein said processor clusters said files in accordance with multiple threshold values to thereby establish plural levels of

Art Unit: 2168

clusters" as "In this example, Input Document 510 satisfies the profiles and constraints of Recent Stories Folder 530 and therefore is stored in Recent Stories Folder 530.

Similarly, Input Document 510 satisfies the profiles and constraints of Interesting Stuff-1 Folder 540 and is stored in Interesting-Stuff Folder 540" (Column 8, lines 39-43).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Millier's** would have allowed **Bellegarda's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to gain a much more natural mode of information access, as noted by **Millier** (Column 1, lines 65-67).

- 8. Claims 9-10, 25-26, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Bellegarda et al.** (Article entitled "Exploiting Latent Semantic Information in Statistical Language Modeling, dated 10/26/2000) and in view of **Millier et al.** (U.S. Patent 5,899995) as applied to claims 1-8, 11-16, 17-24, and 27-34 and further in view of **Kusama** (U.S. Patent 7,085,767).
- 9. Regarding claim 9, **Bellegarda** and **Millier** do not explicitly teach a method comprising:
- A) including the step of automatically labeling the clusters.

Kusama, however teaches "including the step of automatically labeling the clusters" as "the "Title" of "cardinfo.xml" is read, and the folder having the same name as the meta data being saved in the "Title" are generated at a predetermined location in the binary data storage device. According to this processing, in the case where this, for

Art Unit: 2168

example, the meta data "cardinfo.xml" depicted in FIG. 10, then the folder having the name of "Party" which is written in the "Title" is generated" (Column 5, lines 46-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Kusama's** would have allowed **Bellegarda's** and **Millier's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to generate/copy data by automatically devising a folder name in order to lessen the burden of having to conform to the content of the data, as noted by **Kusama** (Column 1, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 10, **Bellegarda** and **Millier** do not explicitly teach a method comprising:

A) wherein said labeling comprises selecting representative words based on the closeness of their vectors to the document vectors in a cluster.

Kusama, however teaches "wherein said labeling comprises selecting representative words based on the closeness of their vectors to the document vectors in a cluster" as "the "Title" of "cardinfo.xml" is read, and the folder having the same name as the meta data being saved in the "Title" are generated at a predetermined location in the binary data storage device. According to this processing, in the case where this, for example, the meta data "cardinfo.xml" depicted in FIG. 10, then the folder having the name of "Party" which is written in the "Title" is generated" (Column 5, lines 46-53).

Art Unit: 2168

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Kusama's** would have allowed **Bellegarda's** and **Millier's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to generate/copy data by automatically devising a folder name in order to lessen the burden of having to conform to the content of the data, as noted by **Kusama** (Column 1, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 25, **Bellegarda** and **Millier** do not explicitly teach a computer-readable media comprising:

A) wherein said computer-executable code performs step of automatically labeling the clusters.

Kusama, however teaches "wherein said computer-executable code performs step of automatically labeling the clusters" as "the "Title" of "cardinfo xml" is read, and the folder having the same name as the meta data being saved in the "Title" are generated at a predetermined location in the binary data storage device.

According to this processing, in the case where this, for example, the meta data "cardinfo xml" depicted in FIG. 10, then the folder having the name of "Party" which is written in the "Title" is generated" (Column 5, lines 46-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Kusama's** would have allowed **Bellegarda's** and **Millier's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to generate/copy data by

Art Unit: 2168

automatically devising a folder name in order to lessen the burden of having to conform to the content of the data, as noted by Kusama (Column 1, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 26, Bellegarda and Millier do not explicitly teach a computerreadable media comprising:

A) wherein said labeling comprises selecting representative words based on the closeness of their vectors to the document vectors in a cluster.

Kusama, however teaches "wherein said labeling comprises selecting representative words based on the closeness of their vectors to the document vectors in a cluster" as "the "Title" of "cardinfo xml" is read, and the folder having the same name as the meta data being saved in the "Title" are generated at a predetermined location in the binary data storage device. According to this processing, in the case where this, for example, the meta data "cardinfo.xml" depicted in FIG. 10, then the folder having the name of "Party" which is written in the "Title" is generated" (Column 5, lines 46-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching Kusama's would have allowed Bellegarda's and Millier's to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to generate/copy data by automatically devising a folder name in order to lessen the burden of having to conform to the content of the data, as noted by Kusama (Column 1, lines 34-38).

Art Unit: 2168

system comprising:

Regarding claim 35, Bellegarda and Millier do not explicitly teach a computer

A) wherein said processor automatically labels the clusters.

Kusama, however teaches "wherein said processor automatically labels the clusters" as "the "Title" of "cardinfo.xml" is read, and the folder having the same name as the meta data being saved in the "Title" are generated at a predetermined location in the binary data storage device. According to this processing, in the case where this, for example, the meta data "cardinfo.xml" depicted in FIG. 10, then the folder having the name of "Party" which is written in the "Title" is generated" (Column 5, lines 46-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Kusama's** would have allowed **Bellegarda's** and **Millier's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to generate/copy data by automatically devising a folder name in order to lessen the burden of having to conform to the content of the data, as noted by **Kusama** (Column 1, lines 34-38).

Regarding claim 36, **Bellegarda** and **Millier** do not explicitly teach a computer system comprising:

A) wherein said processor labels the clusters by selecting representative words based on the closeness of their vectors to the document vectors in a cluster.

Kusama, however teaches "wherein said processor labels the clusters by selecting representative words based on the closeness of their vectors to the

Art Unit: 2168

document vectors in a cluster" as "the "Title" of "cardinfo.xml" is read, and the folder having the same name as the meta data being saved in the "Title" are generated at a predetermined location in the binary data storage device. According to this processing, in the case where this, for example, the meta data "cardinfo.xml" depicted in FIG. 10, then the folder having the name of "Party" which is written in the "Title" is generated" (Column 5, lines 46-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of the cited references because teaching **Kusama's** would have allowed **Bellegarda's** and **Millier's** to provide a method for users to find and file documents in multiple locations in order to generate/copy data by automatically devising a folder name in order to lessen the burden of having to conform to the content of the data, as noted by **Kusama** (Column 1, lines 34-38).

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent 6,820,094 issued to **Ferguson et al.** on 16 November 2004. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-36 (e.g., methods to use to smart folders to automatically organize and relate relevant files).
- U.S. PGPUB 2004/0249865 issued to **Lee et al.** on 09 December 2004. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-36 (e.g., methods to automatically name and label folders).

Art Unit: 2168

U.S. PGPUB 2004/0148453 issued to **Watanabe et al.** on 29 July 2004. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-36 (e.g., methods to automatically name and label folders).

U.S. Patent 5,819,258 issued to **Vaithyanathan et al.** on 06 October 1998. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-36 (e.g., methods to use to smart folders to automatically organize and relate relevant files).

U.S. Patent 6,360,227 issued to **Aggarwal et al.** on 19 March 2002. The subject matter disclosed therein is pertinent to that of claims 1-36 (e.g., methods to use to smart folders to automatically organize and relate relevant files).

Contact Information

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mahesh Dwivedi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2731. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 8:20 am – 4:40 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim Vo can be reached (571) 272-3642. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2168

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mahesh Dwivedi

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2168

December 14, 2006

TIM VO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Leslie Wong

Primary Examiner